Definite conclusions cannot be drawn from this brief examination of precipitation and run-off records. A more thorough study may reveal contradictory results, or again it may give further support to the opinions which seem justified from the analysis of the available data. One thing is conclusive, which is that precipitation and run-off records are extremely valuable if kept consistently and continuously and that more are needed. Run-off records should be kept on all streams where they emerge from the mountains and at other key points along their courses. Meteorological data taken at high altitudes and so distributed as to be representative of large areas are also needed. This study suggests another use for such data.

## TURBIDITY AND WATER VAPOR DETERMINATIONS FROM SOLAR RADIATION MEASUREMENTS AT BLUE HILL, AND RELATIONS TO AIR-MASS TYPES

By HERBERT H. KIMBALL, Research Observer

[Harvard University, Blue Hill Meteorological Observatory, Milton, Mass., September 1934]

The solar radiation intensity measurements obtained at Blue Hill during 1933 have already been published—those for January to August, inclusive, in the Review for August 1933; and for later months, in the corresponding issues that followed—as a part of the radiation data that are published for a number of observing stations in the United States. In table 1 of this paper the values of  $\beta$  and w are given as determined by a method previously described by Kimball and Hand, except that the curves given in the Smithsonian Meteorological Tables, 1931, figure 1, p. lexest, and showing the percentage of depletion of solar radiation by different amounts of atmospheric water vapor, have been drawn separately on cross-section paper that permits a more open scale, and facilitates interpolation.

The first column of table 1 gives in addition to the date, the air mass, m, corresponding to the solar altitude at the time the measurements were made, or more definitely, at the moment the yellow glass screen was replaced by the red screen. The air masses for p. m. measurements are printed in italics. Each screen is usually in place for from 3 to 4 minutes. During this interval the record for the radiation intensity for the total spectrum must be obtained by interpolation.

Readings of the Smithsonian silver disk pyrheliometer made during this same interval, when divided by the scale reading of the interpolated record, give the value of unit scale on the record in gram calories per minute per square centimeter of surface normal to the intercepted solar rays. This value is also sometimes obtained from readings made just preceding or following the screened readings. An apparent progressive decrease in the sensitivity of the thermopile, as indicated by these comparisons, and especially after the advent of warm weather in 1934, was not understood, until the instrument was taken apart at the Eppley laboratory, when it was found that the space above the receiving surface of the thermopile contained several dead insects. These were removed, and the blackened surface was reconditioned. It is fortunate that frequent comparisons have been obtained between the Smithsonian pyrheliometer and the records obtained from the Eppley thermopile, so that it is possible to eliminate most, but probably not all, of the irregularities in the automatic records. Some of the discrepancies that appear in the values of  $\beta$  derived from these screened radiation intensity records quite probably are attributable to this cause.

Determinations of the turbidity factor,  $\beta$ .—The details of the method of determining this factor have been given in the Review for March 1933, already referred to. During the summer of 1933 the transmission of the glass color filters was redetermined by both the United States Bureau of Standards and the laboratory of the United

States Department of Agriculture Bureau of Soils. In both laboratories the transmissions were found to be about 0.992 that given by Feussner's tests,2 or 0.871 for the transmission of the red filter,  $RG_2$ , and 0.882 for the transmission of the yellow filter,  $OG_1$ , instead of 0.878 and 0.889, respectively, which had previously been used. Early in 1934, during cold winter weather, the transmissions were again determined at the laboratory of the United States Department of Agriculture, and the values obtained were in close accord with those given by Feussner. The difference in the two determinations at the United States Department of Agriculture laboratory is attributed to the difference in the temperature of the laboratory at the times the tests were made, it being considerably cooler in winter than in summer. What effect may be produced by the high temperatures to which the screens are subjected when exposed to the sun in summer as compared to the low temperatures under similar exposures in winter, is a problem yet to be solved. In the mean time, the values determined during the summer of 1933 are being employed. Too high values for the transmission of the screens increases slightly the differences  $I_m - I_r$ , and decreases slightly the differences  $I_{\nu} - I_r$ . The values of  $\beta$  derived from these differences will be affected in an opposite direction, i. e., slightly lower values for  $\beta I_{m-r}$ , and slightly higher values for  $\beta I_{m-r}$ . A value of  $\beta_{\text{mean}}$  that is too low gives a value of  $I_{m(w=0)}$ — $I_m$ , and, consequently, of w. Therefore, this method of determining the value of  $I_m$  and  $I_m$ mining the water-vapor content of the atmosphere can hardly be expected to rank in accuracy with spectrobolometric methods.

Mr. H. Wexler of the observatory staff, and also a graduate student in the School of Meteorology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, has determined from air-mass analysis maps made twice each day at the school, the type of air-mass prevailing in the vicinity of Blue Hill on days when solar radiation intensity measurements were made. The air-mass type is indicated by symbols, the significance of which is as follows:<sup>3</sup>

Symbol	Source
Pc	Colder portions of the North Atlantic. Alaska, Canada, and the Arctic. North Pacific Ocean. Modified North Atlantic. P. modified in southern and central United States. P. modified in western and central United States. Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean Sea. T. modified in United States or over North Atlantic Ocean. Tropical Atlantic. T. modified in the United States or over the Atlantic Ocean. Do Tropical Pacific. T. modified in the United States.

Met. Zeit., 1932, Heft 6, S. 242-244.
 For a complete exposition of the significance of the different air-mass types see Willett, H.C., American Air Mass Properties, Papers on Physical Oceanography and Meteorology. Published by Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, vol. 2, no. 2, Cambridge, Mass., June 1933.

<sup>1</sup> Kimball, Herbert H., and Hand, Irving F. The Use of Glass Color Screens in the Study of Atmospheric Depletion of Solar Radiation. Monthly Weather Review, vol. 61, pp. 80-83, March 1933.

Mr. Wexler has also determined from the records obtained during daily airplane flights by the school, the liquid water content of the atmosphere to the heights attained by the plane. The air-mass type, the value of w obtained from airplane records, and the height attained by the plane, are given in the last three columns of table 1.

With reference to the determinations of the moisture content of the atmosphere from records obtained during airplane flights, Mr. Jerome Namias of the school staff, makes the following statement:

It is well known that the hair hygrograph is a particularly sluggish instrument when the temperature is low and the moisture content of the air is small. At temperatures lower than  $-20^{\circ}$  C., the hygrograph will frequently show only the general trend of the changes in relative humidity. In the months from January to April the upper atmosphere over Boston is coldest. Therefore the errors in the measurement of relative humidity are greatest at this period of the year. Under such conditions the general tendency will probably be for the readings at upper levels in the atmosphere to be somewhat higher than the actual values. This statement is based upon the fact that the moisture content is generally highest in the lowest layers, where the temperature is also highest. Therefore, as the airplane climbs, the lag coefficient of the hair increases. Under average conditions the highest flights are made in an anticylone which generally consists of a polar, perhaps transitional polar, air mass. Such air masses are generally free of any appreciable cloudiness, and considerable subsidence is frequently indicated. The effect of this subsidence is, of course, to lower the relative humidities throughout the subsiding air mass. Thus as the plane climbs into this dryer air the hygrograph should show the decrease, but due to the large coefficient of lag at such low temperatures only the general tendency to decreasing values of relative humidity is shown and so the recorded values are too high.

In table 2 are given for each month the mean of the liquid water content of the atmosphere for days on which solar radiation intensity measurements were obtained at Blue Hill, omitting the months June to September, when no airplane flights are made.

Table 2.—Mean liquid water content of the atmosphere

	From so meas	From air- plane sound-		
	Number of days	Liquid water in milli- meters	ings, liquid	
January February March April May October November December December Averages. May-November	8 12 9 11 16 15	3. 2 3. 2 3. 5 6. 7 16. 2 12. 8 9. 9 13. 3 13. 0	6. 7 6. 2 4. 7 9. 2 13. 6 15. 8 10. 0 11. 0	

While it cannot be claimed that the determinations of the water content of the atmosphere are strictly accurate by either of the above methods, and especially as no correction has been applied to the airplane determinations for the atmospheric water content above the height reached by the plane, they at least show seasonal changes and changes with type of air-mass, as is indicated in the following summary, where midwinter is considered to extend from December 22 to March 21, and midsummer from June 21 to September 22.

It is of interest to note that the lowest value of  $\beta$  is found in  $P_c$  air in both summer and winter. Also, the lowest water-vapor content is found with  $P_c$  air in winter and with  $P_A$  air in summer.

## MIDWINTER SEASON

Air mass source	Number	Average	Average
	of days	β mean	w (mm.)
P <sub>c</sub>	5	0. 044	2. (
	1	. 039	5. 3
	5	. 072	4. 8
MIDSUMMER SEA	son		
Po	6 1 1 2 2 2	0. 043 . 123 . 143 . 085 . 056	21. 4 8. 7 15. ( 21. ( 10. 8

Table 1.—Values of  $\beta$  (atmospheric turbidity factor), and w (atmospheric water vapor content), computed from measurements of the total  $(I_m)$  and screened  $(I_v, I_r)$  solar radiation intensity, obtained at the Blue Hill Meteorological Observatory of Harvard University

Date and air mass	I <sub>m</sub> 1.94	β <sub>1 m→</sub>	β <sub>I</sub> ,,	β <sub>mesn</sub>	Iw=0-Im	w	Air-mass type	w, in mm., from airplane	Height of as- cent, in meters
1933 Jan. 15:						mm.			
3.20 Jan. 24:	b1.8	0.050	0.074	0.062	10. 4	5. 9	N <sub>PP</sub>	8.1	4, 920
3.14 2.09 Feb. 6:	57.7 71.7	. 063	.100	. 082 . 046	. 8 3. 2	.6	}N**	5.3	5, 300
2.45 Feb. 13:	73.0	.015	. 022	. 018	2. 5	.5	Pc	2.3	4, 900
2.68 1.84	59. 2 64. 8	. 048 . 075	. 084 . 088	. 066 . 082	6, 6 5, 3	2.0 1.7	}N,0	5.3	5, 320
Feb. 16:	55. 4	. 051	. 080	. 066	4.9	1. 2	Pc, Nro-	3.7	5, 230
Feb. 21: 2.06 Feb. 22:	63.3	. 063	. 093	. 078	4. 6	1.3	N <sub>22</sub>	6. 1	5, 410
1.93 Feb. 23:	67. 2	. 055	. 053	. 054	6.7	2. 5	N <sub>P0</sub>	5.4	5, 130
1.62 Feb. 24:	59.7	. 099	. 095	. 097	10.0	9.0	N <sub>P</sub>	12.0	5, 110
1.86 2.56 Feb. 27:	59. 8 57. 1	. 086 . 070	. 080 . 078	. 083 . 074	9. 4 7. 0	6. 4 2. 4	N <sub>PP</sub>	<b>6.1</b>	5, 310
1,57 1.90	70. 2 65. 7	. 042 . 049	. 026 . 040	. 034 . 044	5. 0 10. 2	1.6 9.0	}P	8.5	5, 150
Mar. 5: 1.55	74. 6	. 027	. 067	. 047	5. 7	2.0	Pc	3.3	4, 440
Mar. 6: 2.72 1.76 1.50	63. 7 71. 1 73. 1 73. 2	. 048 . 042 . 040 . 043	. 051 . 055 . 062 . 047	. 050 . 048 . 051 . 045	4. 2 6. 1 6. 4 6. 9	2. 1 2. 5 2. 9	Pc	3. 9	5, 080
1.49 1.57 Mar. 9:	71, 2	. 052	. 082	. 067	4.9	1.5	,		
2.06 2.65 Mar. 10:	56. 0 46. 4	.096 .091	. 070 . 096	. 083	10. 2 11. 9	8.8 13.8	NPP	7.0	5, 610
2.18 Mar. 11:	62. 1	. 060	. 065	. 062	8.0	3.4	Pc	2.7	4, 520
2.31 1.69 1.55 1.45 2.08	69. 2 71. 3 75. 3 75. 3 67. 9	. 027 . 033 . 027 . 028 . 025	. 070 . 066 . 031 . 033 . 061	. 048 . 050 . 029 . 030 . 043	4.3 2.7 7.2 7.7 6.9	1. 1 . 6 3. 1 3. 8 2. 6	} Pc	2, 2	5, 340
Mar. 16: 2.28 1.95 1.61 1.45	67. 0 71. 1 74. 3 74. 5	. 036 . 039 . 046 . 054	. 054 . 042 . 035 . 055	. 045 . 040 . 040 . 054	6. 0 5. 7 5. 6 5. 1	1.9 1.8 1.9 1.7	Pc,	4.8	5, 240
1.39 1.45 1.88 3.14 Mar. 17:	76. 5 76. 3 70. 3 58. 0	. 047 . 047 . 052 . 049	. 044 . 037 . 028 . 036	. 046 . 042 . 040 . 042	4. 4 4. 7 6. 4 8. 9	1. 4 1. 5 2. 3 4. 5	Nra		0,210
1.38 1.39 Mar. 24:	69. 9 66. 8	. 058	. 092	. 075	7. 4 8. 8	3. 6 5. 8	NPP	10.0	5, 050
1.60 1.34 1.32 1.32 1.55 2.24	65. 2 73. 1 74. 7 74. 0 69. 9 60. 2	. 069 . 060 . 046 . 057 . 069 . 079	. 037 . 038 . 046 . 053 . 055	. 069 . 048 . 042 . 052 . 061 . 067	9. 5 7. 9 7. 3 6. 4 6. 1 7. 9	7.3 4.3 3.6 2.7 3.0 3.3	Pc	4.4	5, 240
Mar. 25: 1.33 1.31	74. 0 73. 6	. 055 . 059	. 079 . 069	. 067 . 064	4. 1 5. 2	1. 2 1. 6	}P <sub>0</sub>	3.8	5, 320
Mar. 27: 1.84 2.51	63. 4 55. 1	. 064 . 078	. 088 . 085	. 076 . 082	8. 3 7. 5	4. 0 2. 8	}P	5. 2	5, 250

Table 1.—Values of  $\beta$  (atmospheric turbidity factor), and w (atmospheric water vapor content), computed from measurements of the total  $(I_m)$  and screened  $(I_v, I_\tau)$  solar radiation intensity, obtained at the Blue Hill Meteorological Observatory of Harvard University—Continued

Table 1.—Values of  $\beta$  (atmospheric turbidity factor), and w (atmospheric water vapor content), computed from measurements of the total  $(I_m)$  and screened  $(I_v, I_r)$  solar radiation intensity, obtained at the Blue Hill Meteorological Observatory of Harvard University—Continued

Date and air mass	<i>I</i> <sub>∞</sub> 1.94	β <sub>Γ</sub> ,	$\beta_{I_{y-r}}$	$eta_{ ext{mean}}$	<i>Iω</i> -0- <i>I</i> m	w	Air-mass type	w, in mm., from airplane	Height of as- cent, in meters	Date and air mass	1.91	β <sub>1 m-r</sub>	β <sub>I</sub> <sub>y-r</sub>	β <sub>шевь</sub>	Iu-o-Im	w	Air mass type
1933						_				1933 June 8:					1	mm.	
Mar. 29: 1.31 Mar. 30:	64.5	. 116	. 138	. 127	5. 5	mm 2.0	P	9.4	4, 920	1.23 1.14 1.07	63. 0 62. 7 64. 4	.102 .102 .117	. 070 . 083 . 089	. 086 . 092 . 103	13. 6 14. 6 12. 8	44.0	Nro Ta aloft.
1.38 1. <b>2</b> 8	73. 5 74. 4	. 052	.046	. 049	7. 0 8. 1	3.9	Nro	4.6	5, 450	1.06 June 9:	64. 4	.088	. 074	. 081	15. 5		1 4 41011.
1.85 Apr. 5: 1.67	68, 5 64. 9	. 044	. 045	.044	8. 6 7. 2	3.0	1			1.36 1.11 1.06	61.3 64.6 65.6	.098 .098 .088	.078 .087 .071	.088 .092 .080	13. 2 13. 4 14. 4	20.0 28.0 47.0	Nrc Ta aloft.
1.43 1.27 1. <b>2</b> 5	70.8 75.0 75.0	. 057 . 047 . 048	. 093 . 090 . 098	. 075 . 068 . 073	5.9 3.8 ! 4.8	1.1	N <sub>FG</sub>	8. 2	5, 400	1.32 1.79 June 10:	53. 6 50. 2	. 110	. 108	. 109	18. 5 16. 0	27. 0	Te
1.87 3.31	66. 9 54. 8	. 050 . 052	. 049 . 054	. 050 . 053	8. 3 8. 7	4.0				1.12	70. 7 54. 3	. 054 . 072	. 051 . 078	. 052 . 075	12. 2 13. 0	27. 0 17. 6	Nrc Te aloft.
Apr. 9: 1.24 Apr. 10:	72.8	. 053	. 034	.044	11.3		N <sub>FC</sub>	9. 2	5, 180	2.42 1.45	50.3 64.3	.073	. 085	. 079 . 068	14. 3 13. 1	24. 0 21. 5	
1.43 1.27 1.24	70.4 71.7 72.7	. 054 . 060 . 050	. 038 . 030 . 038	. 046 . 045 . 044	10. 1 10. 6 10. 1	15.0	N	10.5	5, 300	1.13 1.06 1.10	71.0 71.9 71.7	.053	. 051 . 064 . 071	. 052 . 060 . 064	11. 5 10. 8 9. 8	26. 0 18. 0 14. 3	)N <sub>FC</sub>
Apr. 11: 1.62 Apr. 20:	68. 5	. 059	. 032	. 046	10. 2		N <sub>F0</sub>	11.5	5, 320	1.40 1.43 1.71	67. 5 67. 2 64. 3	. 055 . 055 . 055	. 059	.057 .055 .062	10. 4 11. 1 10. 3	12. 0 16. 0	
1.18	72. 5 72. 4	. 069 . 074	. 121 . 107	. 095 . 090	3. 6 5. 3		}P	9.6	5, 300	3.02 June 12:	51.5		. 059	. 059	12.0	13. 0 12. 5	) _
Apr. 21: 1.81 1.73	65. 5 68. 2	.062	. 093	. 078	6. 2 7. 5		)		- 400	1.06 June 14: 2.15	61.6 56.9	. 114	. 083	.098	16. 4 9. 4	53. 0 5. 6	$\Gamma_{\sigma}$
1.23 1.17 Apr. 22:	70.8 72.2	.065 .071	. 140 . 094	. 102 . 082	3. 3 5. 8	.8	N <sub>rc</sub>	8.2	5, 460	1.41 1.17 June 15:	64. 9 63. 9	. 085 . 142	. 087 . 112	. 086 . 127	9. 0 8. 7	6. 3 5. 4	P <sub>c</sub>
1.58 Apr. 24:	72.1	. 039	. 078	. 058	4. 6	1	N <sub>20</sub>	9.9	4, 700	2.64 1.29	56. 2 70. 2	. 067 . 060	. 056 . 077	. 062	9. 0 8. 3	4.0 4.9	}P°
1.92 1.19 1.15	66. 0 67. 8 68. 9	. 049 . 099 . 098	. 113 . 073 . 123	.081	3. 0 9. 6 5. 9	9.6	N <sub>20</sub>	10.1	6, 100	June 18: 2.88 June 19:	53. 0	. 060	. 059	. 060	10. 7	8. 0	Pc, Nrc aloft.
2.20 Apr. 28: 1.42	49. 1 72. 4	.144	. 124	. 134	7. 6 10. 6		)			2.65 June 20: 1.07	44. 4 71. 8	.116	. 104	. 110	11. 6 11. 3	12. 4 26. 0	Po
1.17 1.13	73. 5 72. 3	.070	.060	. 065	7. 3 6. 3	3.9	N <sub>FC</sub>	5. 5	5, 500	1.06	74. 6 72. 1	.057	. 067	. 062	8. 2 9. 6	6. 4 12. 0	N <sub>F0</sub>
May 4: 1.33 1.17	74. 8 75. 7	. 039 . 040	. 028 . 046	. 034 . 043	8. 8 8. 1		h			June 22: 1.19 1.13	62. 5 64. 0	.090	.114	. 102 . 102	11. 9 12. 2	28. 0 33. 0	NFF, NFc aloft.
1.15 1.38 2.76	76.8 72.7 57.8	. 031 . 043 . 063	. 048 . 045 . 057	.040	7.8 9.1 7.2	7.3	N <sub>20</sub>	14.4	5, 080	June 23: 2.84 1.06	54. 8 76. 4	. 059	. 061	.060	9. 2 8. 7	4. 1 8. 1	
May 7: 1.11	89.6	. 019	. 010	.014	8.7	l	N <sub>F0</sub>	8.5	5, 090	1.08 1.11	76. 1 75. 6 64. 6	.043	.018	. 030 . 056	10. 7 6. 8	20.0 3.5	Npp
May 9: 1.11 1.10	74. 1 75. 8	. 063 . 052	. 044 . 052	. 054 . 052	8. 7 6. 4		Po, To aloft	} 10.1	5, 280	1.84 2.99 June 24:	56.8	. 054	. 045	. 050	11. 0 15. 6	13. 0 47. 0	
May 12: 1.12 1.74	63. 3 44. 6	. 128 . 193	. 085 . 160	.106	12.6 12.4		Nrc, Te aloft	20.4	5, 290	2.70 1.26 1.06	57.8 65.0 64.1	.054	.039 .077 .128	. 046 . 082 . 133	11. 1 12. 0 9. 9	10.0 27.0 14.6	N <sub>F0</sub>
May 15: 1.13 May 16:	71. 2	. 059	. 062	. 060	10. 4	1	N,,,	12.2	5, 9 <del>1</del> 0	1.76 June 27: 1.64	55. 4 51. 3	.113	. 128	. 120	10. 2 11. 2	9. 3 15. 0	J T₌
1.45	69. 2	. 044	. 034	. 039	12. 3	25.0	Nrc, Te aloft	} 11.0	5, 580	July 12: 1.07	66. 2	. 145	. 200	. 172	3. 2	.8	)
May 17: 1.09 1.09	73. 7 73. 1	. 053 . 056	.062	. 058 . 059	9. 1 9. 5		N <sub>P0</sub>	7.6	5, 350	1.10 1.17 1.67	68. 6 66. 2 58. 7	. 143 . 133 . 089	. 128 . 127 . 081	. 136 . 130 . 085	4. 1 6. 2 12. 3	1.3 2.9 22.0	PA
May 18: 1.74 1.16	65. 1 71. 9	. 064 . 084	. 050	. 057 . 062	9. 8 9. 1	10.0	)_			1.84 2.62 July 13:	55. 6 47. 9	. 106 . 104	. 074 . 142	. 123	12. 7 5. 1	24. 0 1. 3	<b>J</b>
1.10 1. <b>2</b> 5	70. 6 68. 4	.086	. 067	.076	9. 3 12. 6	9.7	N. 70	11.8	5, 350	1.68 1.40	53. 5 62. 2	. 155	. 104	. 130	9. 9 9. 1	8. 3 6. 7	
May 19: 1.64 2.18	61. 2 46. 4	. 082 . 106	. 082 . 066	.082	10. 7 18. 1		}N	14.0	5, 910	1.14 1.06 1.09	66. 8 67. 9 64. 6	.084 .099 .105	.135 .112 .102	.110 .106 .104	8. 2 9. 1 12. 0	5. 5 9. 5 30. 2	Nea
May 20: 1.37 May 24:	75. 1	. 026	. 009	.018	10.9	15.0	T	18.0	5, 710	1.57 2.69	62. 8 59. 2 52. 8	. 095 . 085 . 049	. 094 . 069 . 067	. 094 . 077 . 058	13. 8 14. 4 13. 4	47. 0 46. 0 22. 0	
1.57	56. 6	. 100	. 098	. 099	13. 6	36.0	Nro, Taloft	22.7	4, 860	July 14: 1.52 1.36	62. 9 64. 0	. 076	.072	. 074	11. 9 11. 7	20. 0 21. 0	) )
Date and		<u>I</u>	Br	Br	β <sub>mean</sub>	I0-I	w	Air mas	is tyrna	1.13	68. 0 69. 9	. 079	. 085 . 046	. 082 . 058	10. 6 11. 9	18. 0 32. 0	NPA
1933	3	1.94	$\beta_{I_{m\rightarrow}}$	β <sub>I</sub>		19-0-1		All mas		1.17 1.67	70. 2 69. 3 61. 9	. 076 . 062 . 076	. 079 . 081 . 069	. 078 . 072 . 072	9. 2 10. 8 11. 6	9. 0 9. 9 25. 0	
June 2: 1.71		61, 4	0. 087	0. 110	0. 098	7.	0 mm			#.7# July 18: 1.30	51. 2 67. 2	.072	. 065	. 068	12. 8 12. 3	26. 0 29. 0	,
1.06 1.18 1.71		77.0	. 047 . 036 . 044	. 024 . 021 . 034	. 036	9. 9.	0 9.5 6 10.0	Neo		1.20	68. 4 72. 7	. 072 . 046	. 040 . 008	. 056 . 027	13. 0 14. 4	38. 6 57. 0	N <sub>F0</sub>
June 3: 2.93		51. 2	. 073	. 070	.039					1.58 Aug. 6: 1.12	64. 4 76. 8	. 050	.013	.032	17. 2 9. 2	10. 0	י 1
1.27 1.09 1.19		67. 6	. 089 . 082 . 078	. 085	. 087 . 086 . 059	12. 11. 16.	1 21.0	N <sub>Po</sub>		1.19 1.34 1.53	77. 0 73. 2 69. 4	.025 .029 .039	.012	. 025 . 020 . 030	9. 4 12. 6 13. 5	9. 9 26. 0 35. 5	Po
June 4: 1.22 1.07		65. 9	.071	. 081	.076	11. 11.		N,, N <sub>ve</sub> aloit		Aug. 7: 1.19	70.9	. 049	. 032	. 040	13. 0	39. 0	Neg
1.58 June 7:		68.9	. 051	. 024	. 038	14.				Aug. 9: 1.13 1.18	72. 5 72. 3	. 048		.048	10. 8 9. 2	19. 3 16. 0 32. 0	P.
1.08		59.4	146	. 143	.144	13.	0   42.2	N <sub>20</sub> , T <sub>e</sub> a	loft.	4.22	43. 5	. 050	. 047		15. 5	32.0	l) *

Table 1.—Values of  $\beta$  (atmospheric turbidity factor), and w (atmospheric water vapor content), computed from measurements of the total  $(I_m)$  and screened  $(I_v, I_\tau)$  solar radiation intensity, obtained at the Blue Hill Meteorological Observatory of Harvard University—Continued

Table 1.—Values of  $\beta$  (atmospheric turbidity factor), and w (atmospheric water vapor content), computed from measurements of the total ( $I_m$ ) and screened ( $I_v$ ,  $I_\tau$ ) solar radiation intensity, abtained at the Blue Hill Meteorological Observatory of Harvard University—Continued

		1.94	β <sub>I m−r</sub>	β <sub>Iy-τ</sub>	β <sub>mean</sub>	Ιω-0-Ι <sub>π</sub>	w	Air ma	ss type	Date and air mass	$\frac{I_m}{1.94}$	β <sub>Im−r</sub>	$\beta_{I_{y \rightarrow \tau}}$	β <sub>mean</sub>	
1933									<u> </u>						L
Aug. 11: 1.12		0.049	0. 019	0.034	13,	mm 43.0	Pc, Tu aloft.		1933						
Aug. 26: 3.10 3.63		56.3 46.3	. 026	. 029	.028	14. 9 11. 3	9 30. 5 3 11. 0	}N≠0 P₄		Oct. 18: 2.15 1.67	61.8	0.058 .084	0. 043 . 067	0. 050 . 076	
Aug. 27: 1.26 1.58		56. 1 58. 0	. 142	. 109	. 126	14. 9 13. 3		N <sub>PC</sub>		1.62 Oct. 19: 2.28	64. 5 66. 7	. 079	. 058	. 068	
Aug. 30: 2.02 1.19	. <b></b> .	68. 5	. 031	. 026	. 029	10.	1 7.7	)		1.70 1.78 Oct. 21:	71.8 70.4	. 027	.047	.038	
1. <b>2</b> 4 1.6 <b>3</b>		72. 8 72. 6 68. 2	. 046 . 033 . 036	. 056	.051 .033 .028	9.3 11.8 13.9	8 25.0 9 39.0	Po		2.18 Oct. 26:	64. 3	. 054	. 050	. 052	
Sept. 2: 1.73		61. 5 59. 9	. 042	. 032	.037	14. ( 13. 1	1 1	) 1		2.35 1.89 1.74	65. 7 70. 3 72. 1	. 043 . 037 . 042	.022 .034 .037	. 032 . 036 . 040	
1.65 1.27 1.21		62, 2 65, 9 63, 3	. 074 . 081 . 110	. 047 . 053 . 107	. 060 . 067 . 108	13. 8 14. 1 10. 2	5 33.0 1 43.0	Po		2.61 Oct. 29: 2.38	61. 8 68. 6	. 039	. 049	. 044	
1.71 3.14		56. 8 37. 8	106	. 100	. 103	11. ( 15. 8	13.0	]		1.75 Oct. 30:	69. 2	. 037	. 028	. 032	
Sept. 12: 2.19 1.73		68. 4 70. 9	. 037	. 019 . 021	. 028	9. 2 9. 4		<b>)</b>		2.45 1.90 Oct. 30:	53. 0 59. 7	. 088	. 088 . 067	. 088	
1.27 1.38 Sept. 13:		75. 4 72. 2	. 041 . 050	. 030 . 027	. 036	8. 2 10. 4	2 4.8	}P <sub>o</sub>		1.90 Nov. 1:	46. 7	. 138	. 140	. 139	
1.34 Sept. 19:	<b></b> -	73. 1	. 028	. 025	. 026	11. 7		P <sub>o</sub>		2. 40 1. 82	60. 9 65. 1	. 055	. 030	. 042 . 045	
2.81 Sept. 22: 2.86		53. 8 55. 1	.064	.065	. 064	10. 1 12. 6	1 1	Nr. Po Nr. al	loft.	1.95 2.53 2.88	65. 3 62, 4 59. 4	. 041 . 034 . 037	.035 .020 .022	. 038 . 027 . 030	
Sept. 23: 2.77		60. 3 73. 0	. 045 . 038	. 031	. 038	10. 3 8. 7	8.2	}Po N., al		Nov. 2: 2.41 2.14	54. 0 55. 9	.075	.060	. 068	
Sept. 30: 1.74	<b>-</b>	65. 3	.046	. 030	.038	13. 6	34.0	) ]		Nov. 5: 1.88	70. 4	. 042		.042	
1.45 1.45 2.02		64. 4 66. 9 58. 4	.077 .045 .056	.051	. 064 . 050 . 056	12. 9 12. 2 14. (	2 24.0	Nrr		Nov. 8: 3.99	48. 9	. 068	. 034	. 051	
<del></del>	_	<u> </u>	! 			1	_!!	1	Trainha	Nov. 11:	65. 7	. 047	. 040	.044	
Date and air mass	I <sub>m</sub> 1.94	$\beta_{I_{m-r}}$	$\beta_{I_{g}}$	βmean	I w=0~I <sub>m</sub>	w	Air-mass	w, in mm., from air-	Height of ascent, in	Nov. 12: 2.00 2.85	60. 4 62. 3	. 095	. 088	. 092	
						]		plane	meters	3.10 Nov. 16:	61. 6	. 049	. 023	. 044	
1933 Oct. 2:						mm				2.33 2.06 3.70	71. 5 71. 2 58. 3	.036 .039 .039	. 027 . 016 . 027	. 032 . 028 . 033	
1.72 3.11 Oct. 3:	59. 8 51. 6	0. 079 . 045	0.069	0.074	12. 5 14. 6	23. 0 42. 0	N <sub>PP</sub>	25. 6	4.840	Nov. 19: 3.57	51. 5	. 055	. 045	. 050	
1.99 1.52 1.46	62. 4 69. 1 69. 6	. 075 . 040 . 041	. 075	. 075 . 040 . 041	7. 0 7. 4 9. 2	2. 7 3. 3 6. 8	N <sub>PC</sub>	15. 9	5. 280	Nov. 21: 2.96	63. 8	. 015	. 016	. 016	
Oct. 8: 3.14 1.57	66. 1 71. 2	. 004	. 004	.004	12. 5 13. 3	14. 5 33. 0				Nov. 23: 2.42	55. 6	. 068	. 060	. 064	
1.50	70. 6 67. 1	. 023	. 021	. 023	13. 3 13. 7	35. 0 33. 0	N <sub>P</sub> o	26.1	5. 180	Nov. 25: 2.33	62. 8	. 042	. 031	. 036	
Oct. 10: 2.06	63. 5 61. 8	. 033	. 051	. 033	13. 5 8. 2	29.0 J 3.7 J				Nov. 27: 2.28 Nov. 28:	54. 6	. 083	. 092	. 088	
	66. 1 66. 4 53. 9	. 070 . 077 . 083	. 054	. 070 . 066 . 074	8. 2 8. 0 12. 8		N <sub>PC</sub>	16.3	5. 280	2.84 Nov. 29:	49.0	. 084	. 080	.082	
Oct. 11: 2.09	63. 7	. 056	. 045	. 050	9. 3		N <sub>P0</sub>	16.3	5. 100	Nov. 30: 2.81	60. 9 39. 7	. 053	. 147	. 042	
2.21	55. 7 46. 7	. 081	. 082	. 082	13. 5 14. 3	29. 0 36. 7	N <sub>P0</sub>	23. 1	5. 180	Dec. 7: 2.46	40. 5 61. 2	. 160	. 181	. 170	
Oct. 14: 2.16 1.58	64. 8 72. 4	. 050 . 025	. 025	. 038	8. 8 10. 6	4.3 12.0			F 040	Dec. 14:	60. 5	. 047	. 063	. 055	
2.06	71. 5 69. 4	. 030	. 029	. 030	10. 1 9. 1	9.3	Ρσ	8.5	5, 240	Dec. 16:	51. 2 42. 0	.070	.065	. 067	
1.58	66. 2 69. 9	. 043	. 011	. 027	8.3 11.3	4.8 14.0	N <sub>F0</sub>	14.7	5, 180	3.68 Dec. 28:	56. 6 45. 4	.051	. 035	. 043	
1.72 2.28 Oct. 16:	67. 4 61. 0	. 049	. 021	. 035	13. 6 13. 0	34. 1 22. 5	N 27	.,		3.86	50. 1	. 062	. 057	. 060	
1.67	70.3	. 037		. 037	7.0	3.2	N <sub>P0</sub>	15.9	5, 370						

		,	,				···		<del></del>
Date and air mass	I <sub>m</sub> 1.94	β <sub>1 m-r</sub>	βΙ,,,	β <sub>mean</sub>	I_w=0-I_m	w	Air-mass type	w, in mm., from air- plane	Height of ascent, in meters
1933	{				i				
Oct. 18: 2.15 1.67 1.62 Oct. 19:	62. 5 61. 8 64. 5	0. 058 . 084 . 079	0. 043 . 067 . 058	0. 050 . 076 . 068	9. 9 10. 6 10. 1	mm 7. 2 11. 0 5. 7	\\ N_***********************************	10.9	5, 460
2.28 1.70 1.78	66. 7 71. 8 70. 4	. 039 . 027 . 040	. 031 . 047 . 024	. 035 . 038 . 032	7. 8 7. 6 9. 6	4. 2 3. 4 7. 2	N <sub>PP</sub>	9.8	5, 140
Oct. 21: 2.18 Oct. 26:	64. 3	. 054	. 050	. 052	7. 7	3.0	Pc	14.8	5, 540
2.35 1.89 1.74 2.61	65. 7 70. 3 72. 1 61. 8	. 043 . 037 . 042 . 039	. 022 . 034 . 037 . 049	. 032 . 036 . 040 . 044	9. 6 8. 8 6. 4 8. 2	5. 4 4. 9 2. 4 4. 1	} Pσ	9.3	5, 360
Oct. 29: 2.38 1.75	68. 6 69. 2	. 039	. 028	. 039 . 032	4.7 3.8	1.2 1.0	Po Nr aloft.	11. 1	5, 270
Oct. 30: 2.45 1.90	53. 0 59. 7	. 088 . 062	. 088 . 067	. 088 . 064	8. 7 12. 8	3. 9 24. 0	Po Nzr aloft.	12.8	5, 320
Oct. 30: 1.90 Nov. 1:	46. 7	. 138	. 140	. 139	13. 2	28. 0	$N_{rr}^{N_{r\sigma}}$	22. 4	4, 620
2. 40 1. 82 1. 95 2. 53 2. 88	60. 9 65. 1 65. 3 62. 4 59. 4	. 055 . 045 . 041 . 034 . 037	. 030 . 035 . 020 . 022	. 042 . 045 . 038 . 027 . 030	11. 6 11. 8 10. 4 13. 0 12. 8	14.0 12.6 10.5 20.0 17.0	N <sub>P0</sub>	15. 2	5, 380
Nov. 2: 2.41 2.14	54. 0 55. 9	. 075	.060	. 068	12. 5 11. 6	18. 0 15. 0	}N	18.0	5, 310
Nov. 5: 1.88 Nov. 8:	70. 4	. 042		. 042	6.6	2.4	Po	5. 7	4, 080
3.99	48. 9	. 068	. 034	. 051	11.7	11.0	Po Nrcaloft	11.0	4, 360
Nov. 11: 2.17 Nov. 12:	65. 7	. 047	. 040	. 044	8. 1	3. 5	P <sub>0</sub>	5, 2	5, 380
2.00 2.85 3.10 Nov. 16:	60. 4 62. 3 61. 6	. 095 . 049 . 039	. 088 . 040 . 023	. 092 . 044 . 031	5. 4 6. 2 8. 6	1.7 2.2 3.4	}P	9. 6	4, 960
2.33 \$.06 3.70 Nov. 19:	71. 5 71. 2 58. 3	. 036 . 039 . 039	. 027 . 016 . 027	. 032 . 028 . 033	4. 0 7. 2 7. 9	. 9 2. 8 3. 0	}Pc	1.7	4, 850
3.57	51. 5	. 055	. 045	. 050	10. 3	5.0	Po N <sub>FF</sub> aloft	5. 3	4, 680
Nov. 21: 2.96	63.8	. 015	. 016	. 016	12.8	17. 0	{Pc Nr aloft.	10.5	5, 050
Nov. 23: 2.42	55. 6	. 068	. 060	. 064	12. 2	17. 0	(Pc Nr aloft.	} 10.7	4, 880
Nov. 25: 2.33	62.8	. 042	. 031	. 036	11.7	15. 0	Pc	9. 1	4, 740
Nov. 27: 2.28 Nov. 28:	54.6	. 083	. 092	. 088	9.4	5. 2	Nr aloft.	1.0	2, 920
2.84 Nov. 29:	49.0	. 084	. 080	. 082	10. 7	9. 2	N <sub>PP</sub>	7. 7	4, 870
2.26 Nov. 30:	60. 9	. 053	. 031	. 042	13. 1	24.0	N <sub>PP</sub>	12. 3	4, 880
2.81 2.27 Dec. 7:	39. 7 40. 5	. 143	. 147 . 181	. 145 . 170	8. 7 10. 1	3. 6 7. 8	}то	18. 6	5, 050
2.46 \$.41 Dec. 14:	61. 2 60. 5	. 054	. 054 . 063	. 054 . 055	8. 2 8. 5	3. 4 3. 7	}N <sub>PC</sub>	8.9	4, 740
2.74 3.97 Dec. 16:	51. 2 42. 0	. 070 . 060	. 065	. 068 . 067	12. 3 12. 9	15. 0 13. 0	P <sub>c</sub> N <sub>ro</sub> aloft.	} 5. 5	4, 800
\$.68 \$.63 Dec. 28:	56. 6 45. 4	. 051 . 057	. 035 . 055	. 043 . 056	13. 6 14. 1	25. 0 22. 0	}N <sub>PP</sub>	18. 7	4, 180
3.86	50. 1	. 062	. 057	. 060	7. 3	2.0	N <sub>PC</sub>		